OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS
Indiana Journal of Economics and Business Management
Abbriviate Tittle- Ind J Econ Bus Manag
ISSN *(Online)*- 2583-3758
Journal Homepage Link- https://indianapublications.com/journal/IJEBM
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16374370
INDIANA PUBLICATIONS
PRODUCTIVE AND QUALITY RESEARCH

Research Article

Volume-05|Issue04|2025

Cognitive vs. Algorithmic Evolution: Bias, Adaptation, and Conflict in Human-AI Systems: An Economic Introspection

Chukwuemeka Ifegwu Eke, PhD[1], Augustine Olorunfemi OBALEMO, PhD[2]

[1]Department of Economics, University of Abuja
[2]Department of Accounting, Mewar International University, Abuja

Article History
Received: 09.06.2025
Accepted: 28.06.2025
Published: 18.07.2025

Citation
Eke, C. I., Obalemo, A. O. (2025). Cognitive vs. Algorithmic Evolution: Bias, Adaptation, and Conflict in Human-AI Systems: An Economic Introspection. *Indiana Journal of Economics and Business Management, 5*(4), 25-29.

Abstract: This paper explores the evolutionary asymmetries between human and AI systems, highlighting the need for policymakers and practitioners to mitigate associated risks. To ensure transparent, explainable, and fair AI systems, policymakers should prioritize robust testing and validation protocols, bias detection and mitigation, and adaptable institutions that accommodate the changing nature of work. Furthermore, AI systems should be designed to promote digital inclusion, address marginalized communities' needs, and ensure equitable benefits. Future research avenues include exploring cultural implications, developing ethical AI metrics, and examining hybrid human-AI systems' resilience. Ultimately, addressing evolutionary asymmetries is crucial for fostering beneficial human-AI collaboration.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Evolutionary Asymmetries, and Human-AI Collaboration
JEL Classification: G15, G30, M15
ABS Classification: 150201, 150206, 150999

INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into human societies has transformed various aspects of life, including decision-making, work, and interactions. However, this integration also raises fundamental questions about the intersection of cognitive and algorithmic evolution. This paper explores the relationships between cognitive biases, algorithmic evolution, and economic outcomes in human-AI systems.

Human decision-making is inherently flawed, prone to cognitive biases and heuristics (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). These biases can lead to suboptimal outcomes, as humans tend to rely on mental shortcuts rather than thorough analysis. As noted by French economist Jean Tirole (2014), cognitive biases can have significant implications for economic decision-making. Similarly, AI systems, designed to optimize specific objectives, can perpetuate and amplify existing biases (Silver *et al.*, 2018). The intersection of human and AI systems creates a complex landscape of bias, adaptation, and conflict.

This paper examines the implications of cognitive biases and algorithmic evolution for human-AI systems, focusing on economic outcomes. We draw on insights from cognitive psychology, behavioral economics, and algorithmic evolution to understand the interplay between human cognition, AI systems, and economic outcomes. According to German economist Ernst Fehr (2009), understanding the psychological and social factors that influence human decision-making is crucial for designing effective economic policies. By exploring this intersection, we can better understand the challenges and opportunities presented by human-AI systems.

As noted by Japanese economist Hiroshi Yoshikawa (2017), the integration of AI into human societies has the potential to bring about significant economic benefits, including increased productivity and efficiency. However, it also raises concerns about job displacement, income inequality, and bias perpetuation. According to Indian economist Kaushik Basu (2018), addressing these challenges requires a nuanced understanding of the complex relationships between human cognition, AI systems, and economic outcomes. To fully realize the benefits of human-AI systems, we must address these challenges and ensure that the integration of AI is equitable, transparent, and accountable.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides an overview of the theoretical framework, while Section 3 examines the implications of cognitive biases and algorithmic evolution for human-AI systems. Section 4 discusses policy implications, highlighting the need for bias mitigation, institutional adaptation, and equitable innovation.

***Corresponding Author:** Chukwuemeka Ifegwu Eke, PhD

Review of Literature and Theoretical Framework

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into human societies has transformed various aspects of life, including decision-making, work, and interactions. To understand the complex relationships between human cognition, AI systems, and economic outcomes, we draw on insights from cognitive psychology, behavioral economics, and algorithmic evolution (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979; Thaler & Sunstein, 2008; Silver *et al.*, 2018). As noted by Fehr (2009), understanding the psychological and social factors that influence human decision-making is crucial for designing effective economic policies. Eke and Osi (2023) also highlight the importance of considering the impact of digital economics on time and economic outcomes.

Our theoretical framework integrates cognitive psychology, behavioral economics, and algorithmic evolution. Cognitive psychology highlights the role of cognitive biases in human decision-making (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974; Gilovich *et al.*, 2002). Behavioral economics shows how human behavior deviates from rational choice theory, influenced by cognitive biases and environmental factors (Simon, 1957; Ariely, 2008; Camerer, 2007). Algorithmic evolution reveals how AI systems optimize objectives, potentially perpetuating biases (Russell & Norvig, 2010; Domingos, 2015; Zuboff, 2019). Eke (2016) also examines the economic assessment of Nigeria's smartphone data bundle consumption and subscriber resource constraints.

The intersection of cognitive biases and algorithmic evolution has significant implications for human-AI systems. We examine three primary areas of impact: bias propagation, adaptation and conflict, and economic outcomes (Bostrom & Yudkowsky, 2014; Chouldechova & Roth, 2018; Eubanks, 2018). Cognitive biases can be perpetuated and amplified by AI systems, leading to unfair outcomes (Barocas & Selbst, 2019; Dastin, 2018). The evolution of AI systems can create conflicts with human values and goals (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014; Ford, 2015). Eke (2019) also investigates the impact of teledensity on economic growth in Nigeria.

By understanding the implications of cognitive biases and algorithmic evolution for human-AI systems, we can develop strategies to mitigate bias, foster adaptation, and promote equitable economic outcomes (Mullainathan & Spiess, 2017; Kleinberg *et al.*, 2016). Eke, Magaji, and Ezeigwe (2020) also propose an economic assessment model for employment and household telecommunication expenditure in Nigeria. As noted by O'Neil (2016), ensuring that AI systems are transparent, accountable, and fair is crucial for promoting trust and cooperation between humans and AI systems.

METHODOLOGY

This study aims to investigate the impact of cognitive and algorithmic skills on decision-making in the context of human-AI collaboration. To achieve this goal, we designed a survey to collect data from a sample of professionals with diverse backgrounds.

Survey Design and Data Collection

The survey consisted of 30 questions, divided into three sections. The first section collected demographic information, such as age, education, and occupation. The second section assessed respondents' cognitive and algorithmic skills, using a 5-point scale. The third section presented scenarios that required decision-making in the context of human-AI collaboration.

We collected data from a sample of 500 professionals, recruited through social media platforms and online forums. The survey was administered online, and respondents were assured of anonymity and confidentiality.

Respondents' Demographics

The sample consisted of professionals with diverse backgrounds. The age range was 25-45 years, with a mean age of 35.2 years (SD = 5.1). The majority of respondents (75.2%) held a Bachelor's degree or higher. In terms of occupation, 60.5% of respondents were managers, professionals, or entrepreneurs.

Descriptive Statistics for Key Variables

We analyzed the descriptive statistics for cognitive and algorithmic skills. The results showed that respondents' cognitive skills were relatively high, with a mean score of 4.2 (SD = 1.1) on a 5-point scale. Algorithmic skills were slightly lower, with a mean score of 3.5 (SD = 1.3).

These findings suggest that our sample consisted of professionals with strong cognitive skills, but relatively weaker algorithmic skills. This imbalance may have implications for decision-making in the context of human-AI collaboration.

Overall, our study provides insights into the impact of cognitive and algorithmic skills on decision-making in human-AI collaboration. The findings have implications for the design of AI systems that support human decision-making, and highlight the need for professionals to develop both cognitive and algorithmic skills.

Regression Output

Table 1: Regression Output - Relationship between Cognitive Skills and Economic Outcomes

Variable	B	SE	β	t	P
Constant	0.25	0.12		2.08	0.04
Cognitive Skills	0.30	0.12	0.25	2.50	0.01
Algorithmic skills	0.20	0.11	0.16	1.82	0.07

Source: Author's Computation, 2025

R-squared 0.31

F-statistic 10.56

***Corresponding Author:** Chukwuemeka Ifegwu Eke, PhD

The regression output reveals a significant relationship between cognitive skills and economic outcomes. The results indicate that a one-unit increase in cognitive skills is associated with a 0.30-unit increase in economic outcomes, holding all other variables constant. This finding suggests that cognitive skills play a crucial role in determining economic outcomes, consistent with the work of Heckman and Kautz (2013) who emphasize the importance of cognitive skills in achieving better economic outcomes.

The regression coefficient for cognitive skills (β = 0.25) indicates that cognitive skills explain approximately 25% of the variation in economic outcomes. The t-statistic (t = 2.50) and p-value (p = 0.01) confirm that the relationship between cognitive skills and economic outcomes is statistically significant. Additionally, the R-squared value (R-squared = 0.31) indicates that the model explains approximately 31% of the variation in economic outcomes. The F-statistic (F-statistic = 10.56) and p-value (p = 0.00) confirm that the overall model is statistically significant.

In contrast, the findings of this study refute the notion that automation and technological change may lead to a decline in demand for cognitive skills (Autor, 2015). Instead, the results suggest that cognitive skills remain a crucial determinant of economic outcomes.

The results also suggest that algorithmic skills have a positive, albeit marginally significant, relationship with economic outcomes (β = 0.16, t = 1.82, p = 0.07). These findings have implications for policymakers and educators seeking to develop programs that enhance cognitive and algorithmic skills, ultimately leading to improved economic outcomes.

Table 2: Regression Output - Relationship between Algorithmic Skills and Economic Outcomes

Variable	B	SE	β	t	P
Constant	0.20	0.111		.82	0.07
Algorithmic skills	0.35	0.14	0.30	2.50	0.01
Cognitive skills	0.20	0.11	0.16	1.82	0.07

Source: Author's Computation, 2025
R-squared 0.29
F-statistic 9.29

The regression output in Table 2 reveals a significant relationship between algorithmic skills and economic outcomes. The results indicate that a one-unit increase in algorithmic skills is associated with a 0.35-unit increase in economic outcomes, holding all other variables constant. This finding suggests that algorithmic skills play a crucial role in determining economic outcomes, consistent with the work of Acemoglu and Autor (2011) who emphasize the importance of algorithmic skills in determining employment and earnings. Similarly, Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) highlight the growing importance of algorithmic skills in the modern economy, driven by advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning.

The regression coefficient for algorithmic skills (β = 0.30) indicates that algorithmic skills explain approximately 30% of the variation in economic outcomes. The t-statistic (t = 2.50) and p-value (p = 0.01) confirm that the relationship between algorithmic skills and economic outcomes is statistically significant. Additionally, the R-squared value (R-squared = 0.29) indicates that the model explains approximately 29% of the variation in economic outcomes.

In contrast, the findings of this study refute the notion that automation and technological change may lead to a decline in demand for algorithmic skills (Ford, 2015; Frey & Osborne, 2017). Instead, the results suggest that algorithmic skills remain an important determinant of economic outcomes. The F-statistic (F-statistic = 9.29) and p-value (p = 0.00) confirm that the overall model is statistically significant. These findings have implications for policymakers and educators seeking to develop programs that enhance algorithmic and cognitive skills, ultimately leading to improved economic outcomes.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The theoretical framework presented in this paper has significant implications for policymakers and practitioners. To mitigate the risks associated with the evolutionary asymmetries between human and AI systems, policymakers should prioritize the development of AI systems that are transparent, explainable, and fair. This can be achieved through the implementation of robust testing and validation protocols, as well as the development of AI systems that are designed to detect and mitigate bias. Furthermore, institutions should be adapted to accommodate the changing nature of work and the increasing prevalence of AI systems. This can be achieved through the development of education and training programs that focus on emerging technologies, as well as the creation of social safety nets to support workers who are displaced by automation.

Policymakers should also prioritize the development of AI systems that are equitable and accessible to all. This can be achieved through the implementation of policies that promote digital inclusion, as well as the development of AI systems that are designed to address the needs of marginalized communities. By prioritizing these strategies, policymakers can help to mitigate the risks associated with the evolutionary asymmetries between human and AI systems, and ensure that the benefits of AI are shared by all.

This paper highlights several avenues for future research. Further research is needed to explore the cultural implications of the evolutionary asymmetries between human and AI systems. Cross-cultural studies can provide valuable insights into the ways in which different cultures perceive and interact with AI systems. Additionally, the development of ethical AI metrics is crucial for ensuring that AI systems are transparent, explainable, and fair. Further research is needed to develop robust metrics that can be used to evaluate the ethical implications of AI systems. The resilience of hybrid systems that combine human and AI components is also critical for ensuring that these systems can operate effectively in complex and dynamic environments.

In conclusion, this paper highlights the importance of addressing the evolutionary asymmetries between human and AI systems. The theoretical framework presented in this paper provides a foundation for understanding the implications of these asymmetries and for developing strategies to mitigate their risks. As we move forward in an increasingly complex and dynamic world, it is crucial that we prioritize the development of AI systems that are transparent, explainable, and fair. We must also adapt our institutions to accommodate the changing nature of work and the increasing prevalence of AI systems. Ultimately, the future of human-AI collaboration depends on our ability to address the evolutionary asymmetries between human and AI systems. We must work together to develop AI systems that are equitable, accessible, and beneficial to all. The time to act is now.

REFERENCES

1. Basu, K. (2018). The Republic of Beliefs: A New Approach to Law and Economics. Princeton University Press.
2. Fehr, E. (2009). On the economics and biology of trust. Journal of the European Economic Association, 7(2-3), 235-266.
3. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, 47(2), 263-292.
4. Autor, D. H. (2015). Why are there still so many jobs? The history and future of workplace automation. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(3), 3-30.
5. Heckman, J. J., & Kautz, T. (2013). Fostering and measuring skills: Interventions that improve character and cognition. In J. E. Humphries, T. Kautz, J. J. Heckman, & S. H. Moon (Eds.), The Myth of Achievement Tests: The GED and the Role of Character in American Life (pp. 341-360). University of Chicago Press.
6. Silver, D., *et al.* (2018). A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, shogi, and Go through self-play. Science, 362(6419), 1140-1144.
7. Eke, C. I., & Osi, M. U. (2023). The Gathering Clouds: The Case of Time and Digital Economics. East African Journal of Business and Economics, 6(1), 226-232. doi: 10.37284/eajbe.6.1.1310
8. Eke, C. I., Osi, U. M., Sule, M., & Musa, I. (2023). State Control of Digital-Fiat-Electronics Currency Transmission in an Economy: The Case of Hybrid Currency. Asian Journal of Economics, Finance and Management, 92-96.
9. Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2017). Robots and Jobs: Evidence from US Labor Markets. Journal of Political Economy, 125(5), 1295-1334.
10. Acemoglu, D., & Autor, D. (2011). Skills, tasks and technologies: Implications for employment and earnings. Handbook of Labor Economics, 4, 1043-1171.
11. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. W.W. Norton & Company.
12. Ford, M. (2015). Rise of the robots: Technology and the threat of a jobless future. Basic Books.
13. Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254-280.
14. Ariely, D. (2008). Predictably Irrational: The Hidden Forces That Shape Our Decisions. HarperCollins.
15. Autor, D. (2015). Why Are There Still So Many Jobs? The History and Future of Workplace Automation. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(3), 3-30.
16. Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2019). Big Data's Disparate Impact. California Law Review, 107(2), 415-448.
17. Bostrom, N., & Yudkowsky, E. (2014). The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Cambridge University Press.
18. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. W.W. Norton & Company.
19. Camerer, C. F. (2007). Behavioral Game Theory: Experiments in Strategic Interaction. Princeton University Press.
20. Chouldechova, A., & Roth, A. (2018). The Frontiers of Fairness in Machine Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.08821.
21. Dastin, J. (2018). Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women. Reuters.
22. Domingos, P. (2015). The Master Algorithm: How the Quest for the Ultimate Learning Machine Will Remake Our World. Basic Books.
23. Eubanks, V. (2018). Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor. St. Martin's Press.
24. Fehr, E. (2009). On the economics and biology of trust. Journal of the European Economic Association, 7(2-3), 235-266.
25. Ford, M. (2015). Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of a Jobless Future. Basic Books.
26. Gilovich, T., Griffin, D., & Kahneman, D. (2002). Heuristics and biases: The psychology of intuitive judgment. Cambridge University Press.

***Corresponding Author:** Chukwuemeka Ifegwu Eke, PhD

27. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, 47(2), 263-292.
28. Kleinberg, J., Mullainathan, S., & Raghavan, M. (2016). Inherent Trade-Offs in the Fair Determination of Risk Scores. arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.07136.
29. Mullainathan, S., & Spiess, J. (2017). Machine Learning: An Applied Econometric Approach. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31(2), 87-106.
30. O'Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy. Crown.
31. Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2010). Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Prentice Hall.
32. Silver, D., Hubert, T., Schrittwieser, J., Antonoglou, I., Lai, M., Guez, A., ... & Hassabis, D. (2018). A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, shogi, and Go through self-play. Science, 362(6419), 1140-1144.
33. Simon, H. A. (1957). Models of Man: Social and Rational. Wiley.
34. Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness. Penguin.
35. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science, 185(4157), 1124-1131.
36. Zuboff, S. (2019). The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power. PublicAffairs.
37. Eke, C. I. (2016). An Economic Assessment of Nigeria's Smartphone Data Bundle Consumption, Subscriber Resource Constraints and Dynamics: The Case of Abuja and Lagos States. Journal of Telecommunication System Management.
38. Eke, C. I. (2019). Teledensity and Economic Growth in Nigeria: An Impact Assessment. Bingham Journal of Economics and Allied Studies, 2(2), 120-131.
39. Eke, C. I., Magaji, S., & Ezeigwe, G. C. (2020). An Economic Assessment Model of Employment/Dynamics Capacity Development and Household Telecommunication Expenditure in Nigeria. Journal of Economics and Suitable Development, 11(2), 107-115.
40. Tirole, J. (2014). Market Failures and Public Policy. Princeton University Press.
41. Yoshikawa, H. (2017). Japan's economic growth and the role of technology. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31(3), 157-176.

***Corresponding Author:** Chukwuemeka Ifegwu Eke, PhD